

# INTRODUCTION

- Many e-cigarette products are available to consumers, of which PG and VG ratios as well as nicotine concentrations are variable.
- Currently unknown is how a user's preference for these product characteristics change over a length of time
- This study evaluates the change in both nicotine concentration and PG:VG ratio among established e-cigarette users, over the course of 1 year.
- These measures are then compared to determine the relative change among all users.

# METHODS

- This study included 15 exclusive e-cigarette users who completed 12 monthly study sessions in an ongoing cohort study.
- Aliquots of users' e-cigarettes were taken during each monthly session.
- Analysis for nicotine concentration and PGVG ratio was performed by GCMS



Figure 1: Agilent 7890B/5977A GCMS

- Dynamic changes in nicotine concentration were calculated as the relative differences from the values determined in a product used during the initial visit versus each subsequent visit.
- Trends in nicotine concentration and PG:VG ratio among each participant were analyzed, as well as the average change across all participants.

**Table 1** – Participant Characteristics

Participant Characteristics		
Number	15	
Average Age (years)	27	
Sex (male)	53%	

### **Dynamic Changes in E-liquid Nicotine Concentration and PG/VG** Ratio Over 12 Months: Preliminary Results from the Observational Cohort of Vapers



**Figure 2:** Average of relative change in nicotine concentration among participants over 12 months. Percent change calculated from initial visit.

## **RESULTS SUM**

**Table 2** – Analysis of Results

Average values of all collected e-cigarette liquids

Number of participants that showed an **increase** in nicotine or VG concentration

Number of participants that showed a **decrease** in nicotine or VG concentration

Participants that did not show a final increase or decrease over 1 year

The biggest observed change per participant

Average of relative change over 1 year across all participants

### Angel L. Santiago, Michelle K. Page, Noel J. Leigh, Lisa M. Kaiser, Connor D. Martin, Maciej L. Goniewicz Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY 14263

# RESULTS



### Relative Change in PG:VG Ratio

Figure 3: Average of relative change in the PG:VG ratio among participants over 12 months. Percent change calculated from initial visit.

MARY		
	Nicotine	PG:VG
	<b>31.65</b> mg/mL	<b>45:55</b> (PG:VG)
	<b>40%</b> (6/15)	<b>20%</b> (3/15) increased VG
	<b>27%</b> (4/15)	67% (10/15) decreased VG
	<b>33%</b> (5/15)	<b>13%</b> (2/15)
	4 → 47 mg/mL <b>1,050%</b> increase	<b>28%</b> increase (PG)
	35% increase	6% average increase (PG)

- products.
- increased, while VG decreased.
- our final expected sample size.

Dr. Goniewicz reports grants from Pfizer Inc. and served as an advisory board member to Johnson & Johnson, manufacturers of smoking cessation drugs, outside the submitted work

Research reported here was supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Tobacco Products under Award Number U54CA228110. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or the FDA.

## CONCLUSION

Over the course of the study, the average **nicotine concentration increased** in the participants'

The PG:VG ratio of participants changed noticeably over the year. The desired concentration of **PG** 

This preliminary data reflects approximately 10% of

Future studies should explore whether increasing nicotine concentration in e-cigarettes could result in increased nicotine intake among daily vapers.

### DISCLOSURES